



Rachel Mariner, NV Bar No. 16728
Jason Kuller, NV Bar No. 12244
RAFII & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
1120 N. Town Center Dr., Suite 130
Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
Telephone: 725.245.6056
Facsimile: 725.220.1802
rachel@rafiilaw.com
jason@rafiilaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RUBEN MAYA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CARMAX AUTO SUPERSTORES,
INC.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:24-cv-02388-GMN-NJK

**STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO
EXTEND DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL
ARBITRATION AND PARTIES' POSITION
STATEMENT ON LOCAL RULE 16-6.**

Plaintiff RUBEN MAYA and Defendant CARMAX AUTO SUPERSTORES, INC. by and
through their undersigned counsel, hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on December 27, 2024, Defendant filed a Motion Compel Arbitration, Stay
Proceedings Pending Arbitration, and Award Defendant's Attorney's Fees/Costs (ECF No. 6);

WHEREAS, Plaintiff's response is currently due on January 10, 2025, and Defendant's reply
is currently due on January 24, 2025;

WHEREAS, the Parties have conferred and agree that a brief extension to Plaintiff's response
and Defendant's reply deadlines will ensure the parties have sufficient time to analyze and brief the
issues for the Court without unduly delaying the resolution of the motion.

THEREFORE, subject to the approval of the Court, the Parties hereby agree and stipulate
that:



1. Plaintiff shall file his response to Defendant's Motion to Compel Arbitration on or before January 21, 2025; and

2. Defendant shall file its reply in support of its Motion to Compel Arbitration on or before February 7, 2025.

This is the first request for extension of time with respect to Plaintiff's response memorandum and Defendant's reply to Defendant's Motion to Compel Arbitration and is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

WHEREAS pursuant to Local Rule 16-6, and the Notice from the Clerk of Court, the Parties are obligated to notify the Court if they are subject to mandatory arbitration or if they agree to arbitrate.

1. Plaintiff's position is that they are not subject to mandatory arbitration and that they do not agree to arbitration.

2. Defendants' position is that Plaintiff entered into a valid and enforceable arbitration agreement requiring him to arbitrate the claims alleged in his Complaint as set forth in Defendant's Motion to Compel Arbitration. (ECF No. 6.)

Dated: January 7, 2025

/s/ Rachel Mariner
RACHEL MARINER
JASON KULLER
RAFII & ASSOCIATES, PC

/s/ Noel M. Hernandez
NOEL M. HERNANDEZ
ANTHONY L. MARTIN
OGLETREE, DEAKINS

Attorneys for Plaintiff


RUBEN MAYA

Attorneys for Defendant

CARMAX AUTO SUPERSTORES, INC

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 7, 2025



US District Judge



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28